# Developmental Origins of Health & Disease

*How the first 1,000 days shape health for a lifetime.*

Austan Sloan • Recover-You

**A note before you begin.** This guide covers how conditions before and around birth shape lifelong health, including the influence of a parent's nutrition, stress, and substance use during pregnancy. If you are a parent, that framing can land as blame; it isn't meant as any. And if you are currently pregnant or trying to be, read the recovery and repair section too, because the same science says intervention at every stage still changes outcomes.

**Feeling overwhelmed by what you're reading? Support is here.** Call or text 988, Canada's 24/7 Suicide Crisis Helpline • In Alberta, call or text 211 for community, social, health, and government services • In Edmonton and area, call the 24/7 Distress Line at 780-482-HELP (4357) • Call 911 in an emergency.

## The Biology of Beginnings: DOHaD and the First 1,000 Days

The Developmental Origins of Health and Disease (DOHaD) framework starts with one deceptively simple question: how do conditions during development shape later health? The ACE Study documents associations between early adversity and later risk. DOHaD examines some of the biological pathways that may help explain those links. Its best-established evidence concerns nutrition, metabolism, toxic exposures, placental function, and development during the first 1,000 days from conception through age two.

During this window, the body isn't simply growing. It is calibrating. Hormones, nutrient supply, placental function, toxins, illness, and parental health before and during pregnancy can influence development. The fetus is not consciously predicting whether the world will be safe or scarce. That is the metaphor. The biology is a developing system adjusting to the signals available.

> *Think of it as a biological bet placed before the outcome is known.*

The developing system doesn't wait to see the world before calibrating for it. It reads the incoming signals and builds accordingly. Stress hormones, nutrient availability, caregiver responsiveness: each one is interpreted as a data point about what's coming. The metabolism, immune system, and stress response tune themselves to the projected environment rather than a confirmed one. It is, by any reasonable measure, one of the most sophisticated pieces of engineering in existence. It also has a significant design flaw: the forecast can be wrong.

One influential DOHaD idea is mismatch: an adaptation useful in one environment may carry costs in another. The classic example is a body developing under nutritional scarcity and later encountering abundance, which may increase metabolic risk. Human evidence supports parts of this framework, but not every psychiatric or attention problem can be traced to a prenatal forecast. This is how early environment can get under the skin: not as destiny, but as one layer of measurable biological influence.

**Four frameworks, one conclusion.** DOHaD is one pillar in a broader and increasingly convergent scientific argument. ACEs looks at population-level risk. DOHaD looks at biological programming. The Critical Window explores neurodevelopment and attachment. The Dunedin Study tracks outcomes across a lifetime. All four arrive at the same place: the conditions we are born into shape our biology, behaviour, resilience, and vulnerability far more deeply and far more lastingly than we once had the science to understand.

### A Personal Reflection

DOHaD was my first deeper dive into the science beyond toxic stress. Until then, most of what I had been taught in treatment narrowed addiction to the dopamine hijack. That mattered, but it was myopic. DOHaD gave me my first real glimpse into epigenetics and intergenerational trauma. It helped me see the whole person, and how developmental conditions can shape what happens much later in life.

None of it felt academic. I saw my own life reflected in so many of the conditions tied to poor outcomes, and that hurt. Recovery can be full of cliché and metaphor, but that language could only carry me so far. The science gave me something sturdier. It helped explain why I am the way I am, and began to break through the belief that I was somehow hopelessly, inexplicably wrong. It upset me and liberated me at the same time.

## The Framework in Three Moves

At its core, DOHaD can be understood in three moves. Early conditions signal what kind of world is coming. The body calibrates itself around that forecast. Those adaptations can protect us early and create vulnerabilities later.

**Signal:** early-life conditions send biological messages about what kind of world to expect.

**Calibration:** the body uses epigenetics and organ development to adapt to that forecast before it has ever seen the world it's preparing for.

**Trade-offs:** those adaptations can protect in the short term and increase risk significantly over the long run.

For people navigating trauma, addiction, and chronic illness, DOHaD offers something most systems never provide: context. Your body isn't broken by accident or by character. It was shaped, often before you drew your first breath, to survive a specific kind of world. Whether that is the world you eventually entered is another question entirely.

## 1 — Early-Life Exposures and Nutrition

The earliest inputs, including food, stress, toxins, and parental health, act as biological forecasts. They tell the developing system what to expect: scarcity or abundance, threat or safety, inflammation or calm. The body calibrates energy storage, stress reactivity, and organ development around that prediction before it has any way to know whether the prediction is accurate.

**Maternal nutrition:** famine, obesity, or micronutrient deficits can shape infant metabolism, appetite regulation, and future cardiometabolic risk.

**Paternal factors:** a father's diet, weight, exposures, and health before conception may be associated with sperm epigenetic differences and offspring outcomes. Animal evidence for

causal effects is stronger than human evidence; in people, shared genes and environment make direct inheritance difficult to prove.

**Stress and mental health:** anxiety, depression, trauma, and severe stress during pregnancy are associated with differences in fetal and child stress regulation. Cortisol, inflammation, sleep, medication, nutrition, genetics, and the postnatal environment can all contribute. The association is real; the pathway is not one simple line from a parent's distress to a child's outcome.

**Environmental toxins:** BPA, phthalates, tobacco, and alcohol disrupt hormonal signalling and, in some cases, neural wiring and immune calibration.

**Microbiome and infection:** maternal gut health, infections, and antibiotic use influence the infant's microbiome, a major regulator of metabolism and immunity.

When the forecast is wrong, the mismatch can increase the risk of obesity, diabetes, and cardiovascular disease. A body prepared for scarcity may struggle when it encounters abundance in childhood. The system did its best with the information it had. The problem is that the information changed.

# 2 — Biological Mechanisms and Programming

Once exposures happen, the body needs a way to make them stick. Epigenetics and early organ development convert early signals into long-term settings. In effect, they install the operating parameters before the system goes live.

**DNA methylation:** chemical tags that can influence how genes are regulated. Their effects depend on their location, the cell, and the surrounding biology; they are not universal on-off switches.

**Histone modification:** changes in how tightly DNA is packaged, which affects which genes can be accessed.

**Metabolic programming:** the establishment of set points for insulin sensitivity, fat storage, and appetite regulation.

**Organ fine-tuning:** the heart, brain, pancreas, kidneys, and liver are calibrated in the womb.

**Stress-system setup:** HPA-axis sensitivity and autonomic balance are partly established before birth.

**Neural connectivity:** synapse growth and pruning prioritize the circuits needed for threat detection or learning, depending on what the incoming signals predict.

This is survival logic at its most elegant: the developing body is learning the world before it meets it. When the world matches the prediction, those settings can be genuinely protective. When it doesn't, the same adaptations that once served as preparation become sources of vulnerability.

# 3 — Long-Term Health Outcomes

The adaptations that protect us early can carry a cost later. This is where DOHaD connects directly to the adult conditions seen in clinics worldwide, including patterns of mental health and addiction that were rarely understood as developmental in origin.

**Cardiometabolic:** the Barker Hypothesis first demonstrated that low birth weight predicts hypertension, type 2 diabetes, and coronary heart disease in adulthood. A body calibrated for scarcity struggles when it meets abundance.

**Brain and mental health:** prenatal stress and nutritional deficits are associated with later differences in neural development and with risk for attention, mood, and anxiety problems. These findings describe increased probability, not a prenatal diagnosis and not a way to explain one person's ADHD or depression after the fact.

**Immune and respiratory:** early exposures calibrate immune tolerance and can shape the risk of asthma, allergies, and some autoimmune conditions. A system trained to expect infection or inflammation may overreact to otherwise harmless triggers.

**Reproductive:** the developmental environment can affect puberty timing, hormone balance, and fertility, especially when combined with later stress and nutritional patterns.

The bottom line: the seeds of adult health and vulnerability are planted before birth. They continue interacting with every environment we move through for the rest of our lives.

# 4 — Critical Developmental Windows

In DOHaD, when an exposure happens is as important as what happens. Some systems are highly plastic for only a narrow window. During that time, small inputs can have outsized and lasting effects. This is why the first 1,000 days keep appearing across the research. The number isn't arbitrary. This is when calibration has the greatest reach.

**Preconception:** parental nutrition, metabolic health, substance use, and stress already influence outcomes before conception occurs.

**Gestation:** each trimester programs different organs and neural circuits during a construction phase that runs continuously and in parallel.

**Perinatal:** the newborn transitions to air, feeding, and self-regulation. High-stakes physiology compressed into hours and days.

**Infancy to age two:** peak brain growth, immune calibration, and microbiome formation. Caregiving patterns get wired in during this window in ways that persist long after it closes.

Meaningful plasticity continues beyond childhood, but the foundational calibration happens here.

# 5 — Intergenerational and Transgenerational Effects

DOHaD doesn't stop at one lifetime. A mother's environment during pregnancy can influence not only her child, but potentially her grandchild, because the grandchild's egg cells are already forming inside the fetus during pregnancy. In some research contexts, effects have been observed even further out.

**F0:** the original parent or parents exposed.

**F1:** direct offspring who were in the womb during exposure.

**F2:** the grandchild generation, whose germ cells were present during F0 exposure.

**F3:** the great-grandchild generation, and the threshold for true transgenerational inheritance.

This is how the biology of adversity, and potentially healing, can echo across generations. That echo may travel through pregnancy, health, caregiving, stress, poverty, culture, learned survival,

and possibly epigenetic pathways. Safer environments, regulated caregivers, and improved nutrition send new biological and relational signals: the world is different now from the one your parents survived.

**A scientific note on the limits of this.** The core DOHaD finding is well established in human research: early-life environments shape later health. Barker's work on birth weight, the Dutch Hunger Winter cohorts, and studies of maternal obesity and smoking all provide robust, replicated evidence. Multigenerational epigenetic inheritance in humans is a different and still-evolving question. Animal studies demonstrate it clearly. Human data remain largely observational. The findings are compelling, but they do not yet establish direct causal chains across three or four generations. The honest position is that early experience gets under the skin; that much is established. How far the biological echo travels across generations is still being worked out.

# 6 — Recovery, Repair, and Prevention

DOHaD can feel like a lot to absorb, and for good reason. What it describes is a system that was being shaped before you had any awareness, agency, or say. Part of recognizing that is realizing the table was tilted against a lot of us before we even got started. It's unfair, but it's real.

That realization does not have to end in hopelessness. For me, understanding the science brought some relief from the shame of not knowing why I felt compelled to do what I did. The unfairness was still there, but it stopped being the whole story once I no longer treated it as proof that something was wrong with me. The science did not change what happened. It changed what I believed it said about me.

**Preconception and pregnancy care:** supporting nutrition, mental health, and substance use treatment in would-be parents lowers biological risk before a child is even conceived. The intervention that starts earliest reaches furthest.

**Early caregiving and attachment:** consistent, responsive caregiving helps recalibrate stress systems toward safety, even after a difficult start. The nervous system is still listening.

**Lifestyle and metabolic health:** movement, sleep, and anti-inflammatory nutrition can meaningfully shift cardiometabolic risk, especially when combined with sustained stress reduction.

**Trauma-focused therapy:** treatments with strong PTSD evidence include CPT, Prolonged Exposure, and EMDR. Other approaches, including ART and many body-based therapies, have smaller or still-developing evidence bases. The right treatment should be matched to the person, the problem, and what they can safely engage in.

**Nervous system regulation:** breathwork, grounding, and body-based tools reinforce calmer, safer patterns through consistent repetition. Repetition is how the nervous system updates.

> *You cannot rewrite the conditions you were programmed in. But you can absolutely influence how that programming plays out from here.*

Recovery work, values, and safer environments all send updated biological information about the world you live in today. This is why addiction care should look upstream as well as at the behaviour itself. Developmental dysregulation is not the driver in every case, and no evidence supports one universal root. But when it is part of the story, treating only the visible behaviour leaves part of the system untouched.

# Why DOHaD Matters for Recovery

The DOHaD model does something most clinical frameworks don't. It reframes what we've been calling "disorder" as adaptation. Not malfunction. Not moral failure. A body doing what it learned to do under the conditions it was handed. For people in recovery, that reframe matters enormously. The biology isn't broken. It's trained for a world that no longer exists.

Through this lens, addiction, anxiety, and hypervigilance are not random defects or character flaws. They are echoes of early calibration. The nervous system is still following instructions that may once have been accurate but were never updated. The environment changed. The programming didn't. That gap is where so much of the suffering lives.

> *Sobriety without trauma work can feel like tearing down the shelter in the middle of the storm.*

DOHaD points toward something more useful than diagnosis: if the body once learned survival, it can also learn safety. That same argument runs through ACEs, critical window development, Dunedin, and trauma recovery. Different fields are looking at the same terrain and arriving at the same conclusion.

Protecting and nurturing the first 1,000 days can reshape the course of an entire life. For those of us already on the recovery path, this science offers something most treatment models never do. It replaces shame with context, and self-blame with a more accurate account of what happened. That changes what comes next.

## Before You Go

The first 1,000 days aren't a countdown. They are a conversation between biology and environment that begins before the person being shaped has any awareness of it. Every signal received, from stress and nourishment to connection or its absence, writes a few more lines of code into a system that may run them for the rest of a life.

When we understand this, healing stops being about fixing something broken. It becomes the work of updating something that once worked under different conditions, in a different world. The biology of survival is not the enemy. It is the mechanism. With the right inputs and enough time, that same mechanism can move toward safety, trust, and connection.

If this guide handed you an explanation for something you've carried, notice that an explanation is not an excuse and it isn't a sentence either. It's just an accurate account of cause and effect, which is more than most people in your position are ever given.

> *Change the inputs early enough, and you change the entire script. Change them later, and you change what the script produces. That's still worth doing.*

**Feeling overwhelmed by what you're reading? Support is here.** Call or text 988, Canada's 24/7 Suicide Crisis Helpline • In Alberta, call or text 211 for community, social, health, and government services • In Edmonton and area, call the 24/7 Distress Line at 780-482-HELP (4357) • Call 911 in an emergency.

# Sources & Further Reading

These references represent the core scientific foundation of DOHaD, including metabolic programming, epigenetics, developmental timing, transgenerational transmission, and major population studies including the Dutch Hunger Winter cohort.

Barker, D. J. P. (1995). Fetal origins of coronary heart disease. BMJ, 311(6998), 171–174. *The original statement of the Barker Hypothesis, demonstrating that conditions in the womb predict adult cardiovascular disease risk and establishing the core principle that development programs later health.*

Barker, D. J. P. (1997). Maternal nutrition, fetal nutrition, and disease in later life. Nutrition, 13(9), 807–813. *Established the thrifty phenotype hypothesis: that early nutritional environment physically programs metabolic function in ways that persist across a lifetime.*

Gluckman, P. D., & Hanson, M. A. (2004). Developmental origins of disease paradigm: a mechanistic and evolutionary perspective. Pediatric Research, 56(3), 311–317. *Extended the Barker framework to stress programming, showing that the developing organism calibrates its physiological systems to match predicted environmental conditions: the biological forecast mechanism described throughout this guide.*

Bateson, P., et al. (2004). Developmental plasticity and human health. Nature, 430(6998), 419–421. *Formalizes the predictive adaptive response: developmental calibrations are made on forecast rather than confirmed environment, and when the forecast proves inaccurate the mismatch creates heightened metabolic and cardiovascular risk.*

Hanson, M. A., & Gluckman, P. D. (2014). Early developmental conditioning of later health and disease: physiology or pathophysiology? Physiological Reviews, 94(4), 1027–1076. *The definitive comprehensive review of the field, establishing that early environmental influences operate through developmental plasticity rather than pathophysiology, with epigenetic mechanisms central to transmission.*

Roseboom, T., de Rooij, S., & Painter, R. (2006). The Dutch famine and its long-term consequences for adult health. Early Human Development, 82(8), 485–491. *Definitive summary of the Dutch Hunger Winter cohort: in utero famine exposure predicted adult coronary heart disease, glucose intolerance, obesity, hypertension, and disrupted stress response decades later. The most replicated human evidence in the field.*

Painter, R. C., Roseboom, T. J., & Bleker, O. P. (2005). Prenatal exposure to the Dutch famine and disease in later life: an overview. Reproductive Toxicology, 20(3), 345–352. *Systematic overview across reproductive, cardiovascular, metabolic, and psychiatric domains, establishing timing of exposure as a critical determinant of which systems are most affected.*

Franzek, E. J., et al. (2008). Prenatal exposure to the Dutch 'hunger winter' and addiction later in life. Addiction, 103(3), 433–438. *Directly links in utero famine exposure to elevated rates of addiction in adulthood: human cohort evidence connecting DOHaD to substance use vulnerability.*

Wadhwa, P. D., Buss, C., Entringer, S., & Swanson, J. M. (2009). Developmental origins of health and disease: focus on epigenetic mechanisms. Seminars in Reproductive Medicine, 27(5), 358–368. *Comprehensive review focused on the epigenetic mechanisms through which early exposures, particularly prenatal stress, leave lasting marks on gene expression and biological set points.*

Gluckman, P. D., Hanson, M. A., & Beedle, A. S. (2007). Early life events and their consequences for later disease: an evolutionary perspective. American Journal of Human Biology, 19(1), 1–19. *Places DOHaD within an evolutionary framework, arguing that developmental plasticity is an adaptive strategy and that forecast mismatch is the primary mechanism by which early programming becomes disease risk.*

Dias, B. G., & Ressler, K. J. (2014). Parental olfactory experience influences behavior and neural structure in subsequent generations. Nature Neuroscience, 17(1), 89–96. *Experimental (animal) evidence that specific learned experiences can be transmitted across generations via epigenetic mechanisms. Read alongside the scientific note above on the limits of extrapolating this to humans.*

Shonkoff, J. P., Boyce, W. T., & McEwen, B. S. (2009). Neuroscience, molecular biology, and the childhood roots of health disparities. JAMA, 301(21), 2252–2259. *Landmark paper bridging DOHaD, neuroscience, and public health, arguing for early intervention as the highest-leverage point for disease prevention.*

Heindel, J. J., & Vandenberg, L. N. (2015). Developmental origins of health and disease: a paradigm for understanding disease cause and prevention. Current Opinion in Pediatrics, 27(2), 248–253. *Expands the framework beyond nutrition to include the full range of prenatal environmental chemical exposures.*

World Health Organization. (2015). Early childhood development and the social determinants of health inequalities. WHO Commission Report. *Documents how early childhood conditions translate into measurable biological differences that drive health inequalities across the lifespan, situating DOHaD within global public health.*